

Monday, April 11, 2016

Minutes

Attendance: David Henry, Chairman, Ron O'Reilly, Lisa Carrozza, Jan Franceschelli, Dan Pearson and Conservation Agent, Jennifer Carlino

Absent: Julian Kadish and Scott Ollerhead, Vice Chairman

The meeting was called to order at 7:00 pm.

7:05 pm **Red Mill Village & Lorell Management, Enforcement Order discussion.**
Attending the public discussion were Ron Honing of Lorell Management, Gary Perkins, board member, Cooper Winston (board member) and Jay Hall, CHA.

Jay Hall commented:

- that an Enforcement Order was issued regarding the maintenance of the property.
- that he & Jennifer Carlino inspected the vernal pools on the property. There was very little sediment in the vernal pools and they were fairly clean. He passed out pictures of the vernal pools.
- the cattails were removed from the detention basins and placed on the sides of the basins
- compost filter socks were placed along the bottom of the stored vegetation to protect the vernal pools.
- compost filter socks were placed at the outlet to the detention basin.
- that Jennifer Carlino met with Ron Honing of Lorell Management and the grounds keeper to discuss erosion control and the removing of vegetation.
- detention basins have to be maintained every couple of years
- going forward, decided to use a permanent wetland seed mix to stabilize areas that were not going to be disturbed again. Areas above the wetland and in the detention basin, a Conservation seed mix and jute netting would be used to stabilize the slopes.
- all stockpiled vegetation from the basins have been removed and the areas have been stabilized with jute netting.
- all areas inside the basin have been seeded and protected with jute netting.
- there are a few areas in the detention area to be cleared of cattails and will need dewatering. No silty water will leave the detention basins. Rain has prevented dewatering.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 2.

David Henry asked what depth the vegetation was and Mr. Hall replied it is mostly cattails about 2-5 feet. David Henry asked if the Operations & Maintenance Manual (O & M) will be revised. Mr. Honing replied the O & M states the basins will be cleaned one a year and he thinks every two years will be sufficient. Jennifer Carlino asked Mr. Honing if had any idea when a revised O & M would be submitted and he replied that he would be doing an Annual Report and then revise the O & M. He said the weather has a lot to do with the timelines in the O & M. He said he would try to have the revised O & M within six weeks.

7:10 pm Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation – (#250-964) – **Daggett-Crandall-Newcomb Home, Inc. – Parcel 6 (Assessor's Map 5) & Parcel 1 (Assessor's Map 2) 55 Newland Street – (cont. from the March 21, 2016 mtg.)** - for verification of the wetland resource areas.

Document List

1. WPA Form 4A – Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation
2. Plan entitled “Plan of Land Newland Street, Norton, Mass. Prepared for: Daggett-Crandall Newcomb Home, Scale: 1”=100’, prepared by Schofield Brother LLC, signed and stamped by David W. Humphrey and dated October 27, 2015.
3. Wetland Description and Field Delineation Report dated August 12, 2015
4. Letter dated November 30, 2015 from Jennifer Carlino to Fredric King, Schofield Brothers LLC
5. Letter dated December 4, 2015 from David Humphrey, PLS, Schofield Brothers LLC to Jennifer Carlino
6. Plan entitled “Plan of Land Newland Street, Norton, Mass. Prepared for: Daggett-Crandall Newcomb Home, Scale: 1”=100’, prepared by Schofield Brother LLC, signed and stamped by David W. Humphrey and dated December 2, 2015.
7. Letter dated December 15, 2015 from David W. Humphrey, PLS, Schofield Brothers to Jennifer Carlino.
8. Letter dated February 11, 2016 from David W. Humphrey, PLS, Schofield Brothers to Jennifer Carlino.
9. Letter dated February 16, 2016 from John Chessia, Chessia Consulting Services LLC to Jennifer Carlino.
10. Analysis of On-Sit Depressions for MASS. Wetlands Protection Act for 0 Newland Street (Land Court Lot 3) Norton, MA dated February 6, 2016.
11. Letter/Report dated March 14, 2016 from John Chessia, Chessia Consulting Services LLC.
12. . Plan entitled “Plan of Land Newland Street, Norton, Mass. Prepared for: Daggett-Crandall Newcomb Home, Scale: 1”=100’, prepared by Schofield Brother LLC, signed and stamped by David W. Humphrey and dated March 22, 2016.

Attending the meeting was David Humphrey, Schofield Brothers LLC and John Chessia, Chessia Consulting Services.

David Humphrey stated he had submitted revised plans with the revisions requested by the Commission at the previous public hearing. Jennifer Carlino asked him to point out the revisions on the plan.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 3.

Motion by Ron O'Reilly to close the public hearing, seconded by Jan Franceschelli.

Jennifer Carlino commented that she had included the draft Order of Conditions with the agenda. David Henry read aloud the few suggested revisions made by Lisa Carrozza. Motion by Ron O'Reilly to sign and issue the Order of Conditions, as amended, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

7:15 pm Notice of Intent – (**#250-968**) – **William Brandon – Parcel 10 (Assessor's Map 28) – 131 Taunton Avenue – (cont. from the March 21, 2016 mtg.)** - for proposed plans for construction of an access driveway, utility installation and grading within 100 feet of wetlands and to fill and replicate 3,491 sq. ft. of wetlands.

Jennifer Carlino commented that the applicant has requested a continuance of the public hearing. Motion made by Dan Pearson to continue the public hearing to the next regular meeting of Monday, April 25, 2016, seconded by Jan Franceschelli. Approved.

David Henry stated a letter has been received from William Brandon requesting a continuance of the public hearing. Motion by Scott Ollerhead to continue the public hearing to the next regular meeting of Monday, April 11, 2016, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

7:20 pm Notice of Intent (**#250-972**) – **Lloyd Geisinger – Campanelli Thorndike Norton, LLC – Parcel 20 (Assessor's Map 11) & East Main Street Right-Of-Way – East Main Street – (cont. from the March 21, 2016 mtg.)** - for proposed plans for construction of a sidewalk, headwall replacement and drainage improvements within 100 feet of wetlands, bank and in Canoe River ACEC.

Jennifer Carlino commented she has not received revised plans yet and the applicant has requested a continuance of the public hearing to the next meeting. Motion by Ron O'Reilly to continue the public hearing to the next regular meeting of Monday, April 25, 2016, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

7:25 pm Amended Order of Conditions - (**#250-960**) – **Condyne Capital Partners – Parcel 116 (Assessor's Map 24) 60 Commerce Way – (cont. from the March 21, 2016 mtg.)** - to amend/modify the building size, stormwater management and drainage changes, installation of fuel island and a new water line.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 4.

Document List

1. Letter dated February 17, 2016 to the Conservation Commission from Mark Dibb, Condyne Engineering Group requesting an Amendment to Order of Conditions File #250-960.
2. Plan entitled "Site Plans" RYDER 60 Commerce Way, Norton Commerce Center, Norton, Massachusetts, prepared by Condyne Engineering Group, signed and stamped by Mark Dibb and dated February 17, 2016 (Sheets C1 – C14).
3. Stormwater Management Report revised on: **April 6, 2016.**
4. Plan entitled "Norton Commerce Center" 60 Commerce Way, Lot 116-Cul-De-Sac, Existing Drainage Area Plan revised on July 20, 2015.
5. Plan entitled "Norton Commerce Center" 60 Commerce Way, Proposed Drainage Area Plan revised on March 28, 2016.
6. Plan entitled "Norton Commerce Center" 60 Commerce Way, Grading and Drainage Plan revised on March 28, 2016.
7. Plan entitled "Site Plans" RYDER 60 Commerce Way, Norton Commerce Center, Norton, Massachusetts, prepared by Condyne Engineering Group, signed and stamped by Mark Dibb and dated February 17, 2016 (Sheets C1 – C14) with latest revisions on **March 28, 2016.**

Attending the public hearing was Mark Dibb, Condyne Engineering Group. Mr. Dibb commented that he had submitted revised plans. He proceeded to point out the revisions made to the plans as follows:

- Added fuel canopy and associated piping
- Added details for the signage for the shut-off valve for the fuel.
- Revised the drainage areas adding a second catch basin.
- Reduced the expanded paving area.

Mr. Dibb stated he had submitted site alternatives, but the Ryder Company requires the building to be in a specific area to allow trucks to go in and out.

David Henry asked if the project could be further from the vernal pool and Mr. Dibb replied he did reduce the paving slightly but was not able to move the building. Jennifer Carlino suggested putting up a retaining wall rather than do extensive grading. Mr. Dibb replied that there might be enough boulders on site to use as a barrier rather than a retaining wall. Jan Franceschelli asked how many parking spaces were proposed and Mr. Dibb replied there were 114 truck spaces and 30 car spaces. Ron O'Reilly asked Mr. Dibb to point out the snow storage area. Jennifer Carlino had concerns with trucks pushing the snow up against the fences protecting the vernal pools. Mr. Dibb explained the fences are back 10 feet and the snow can be placed to one side.

Jennifer Carlino asked if the Planning Board had approved the parking spaces and Mr. Dibb replied that the modification is going to be presented to the Planning Board on April 19th. Jennifer Carlino asked

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 5.

Mr. Dibb if there was any opportunity to infiltrate the trenches under the pavement instead of installing the large basin around the vernal pool. He replied it is not feasible to do this at this time but he will try to reduce the size of the basin around the vernal pool.

Jennifer Carlino made a few suggestions as follows:

- Requested that Mr. Dibb supply Chessia Consulting with a copy of the revised drainage report.
- Go over the details of the fuel island.
- Be more specific on what type of erosion blanket will be used.
- Add notes referring to the subsurface infiltration
 - Mr. Dibb explained that was a typo and the notes will be removed.
- Ensure that the concrete washout has a poly liner.
- Move the proposed force main line blowoff/clean out valve away from the restored wetland.

Motion by Ron O'Reilly to continue the public hearing to the next meeting of Monday, April 25, 2016, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

8:00 pm Notice of **Intent (#250-973) Michael Yunits/Town of Norton – Parcel 183 (Assessor's Map 19) King Philip Road and Bay Road – (cont. from the March 21, 2016 mtg.)** - for proposed plans for an aquatic plant management program to treat and remove aquatic invasive plant species using various methods in Lake Winnecunnet.

Document List

1. WPA Form 3-Notice of Intent.
2. CD – Town of Norton Lake/Pond Management Action Plan – Updated Vegetation Management Recommendations ESS Project No. N490-000
3. Letter dated March 9, 2016 to Alex Patterson, ESS Group from Jennifer Carlino.
4. Letter dated March 10, 2016 to Jennifer Carlino from ESS Group.

Attending the public hearing was Alex Patterson and Matt Ladewig from ESS Group and Mike Yunits, Town Manager.

Mr. Patterson commented he had made a few revisions to the forms that he had submitted and also submitted more copies of the project cd.

David Henry asked if the approval letter was received from Natural Heritage and Mr. Patterson replied it was not. Mr. Patterson explained to the audience that when a project is proposed within a Natural Heritage habitat area containing significant rare species, a copy of the Notice of Intent (NOI) has to be sent

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 6.

to the Natural Heritage and Endangered Species Program (NHESP) for their review and comments. He noted that a NOI had been sent but he has not received a response yet. He said that the public hearing for this project cannot be closed until the letter from NHESP has been received.

Jennifer Carlino asked Mr. Patterson to explain why he picked fluridone as one of the herbicides.

Mr. Patterson replied that a higher dosage can be used than proposed but NHESP has limited the concentration for the product. He said that the lower concentration will be enough to kill the fan wort. Jennifer Carlino commented that flushing may be a problem and Mr. Patterson replied that this herbicide tends to stick to the plants. He commented the flushing rate is anywhere from one to two weeks, relatively quick. Jennifer Carlino asked how close to the outlet can the herbicides be distributed without washing away. Mr. Patterson replied that it is hard to tell at this time. He said that there are three testing locations proposed and they can be changed. David Henry asked if more testing locations would be more reliable and Mr. Patterson replied that more testing locations will not provide better results. He said that the important issue is that once the invasive plants are removed, that they do not return.

Mr. Patterson commented that the Commission can ask for a level of success, which, if not met, the company would have to return and re-treat the areas. Jennifer Carlino asked Mr. Ladewig if there will be areas where the non-invasive native species might be adversely affected and can there be a testing area at those sites. Mr. Patterson replied that he did not think there was any particular area that had significantly more native species than another area.

Lisa Carrozza asked Mr. Patterson if he intends to give the applicator special instructions and he replied that he does not give the applicator instructions. She implied that the application of herbicides and the location of the testing areas will actually be decided upon by the company contracted by ESS Group. She suggested that the company contracted to do the actual treating of the lake present the proposed plans for treatment and locations for testing to the Commission before any treatment begins. Dave Henry commented that the Commission is required to hire a Certified Lake Manager to oversee the project.

Jennifer Carlino noted that notice will have to be given to anyone who used the lake for irrigation and signs will have to be posted as to when irrigation may stop and the restart.

Lisa Carrozza asked if progress and monitoring reports will be submitted and Mr. Patterson replied that reports will be submitted by independent companies and not by the applicator company. He commented

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 7.

that the applicator will do a pre-treatment test on their own before beginning the actual treatment of the lake.

Jan Franceschelli asked when the best time to treat the ponds and lakes are and Mr. Patterson replied in May or June.

David Henry asked how wildlife will be affected and Mr. Ladwig replied that native plants will eventually grow back thicker and stronger which should improve wildlife habitat in those areas.

Motion by Ron O'Reilly to continue the public hearing to the next regular meeting of Monday, April 25, 2016 in order to receive the response letter from NHESP, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

7:35 pm Notice of Intent – (**#250-974**) – **Michael Yunits/Town of Norton – Parcel 118 (Assessor's Map 27) Power Street** – for proposed plans for an aquatic plant management project to remove aquatic invasive plant species using a variety of methods in Barrowsville Pond.

Documents List

1. WPA Form 3-Notice of Intent.
2. Letter dated March 9, 2016 to Alex Patterson, ESS Group from Jennifer Carlino.
3. Letter dated March 10, 2016 to Jennifer Carlino from ESS Group.

Attending the public hearing was Alex Patterson and Matt Ladewig from ESS Group and Mike Yunits, Town Manager.

Mr. Patterson commented that this is the second of the four ponds/lakes to be treated in Norton. He noted that the main concern in Barrowsville Pond is the Water Chestnut.

Mr. Patterson commented that the main herbicide to be used will be Clearcast which is very effective on water chestnuts and three other herbicides for future treatments, Sonar, Clipper and Reward. He noted that if necessary, hand and diver harvesting may be used.

Dan Pearson commented that Clipper is another name for Flumioxazin which he noted needs special permission by the state to be applied and, therefore, may bring about concerns in the general public. Mr. Patterson replied that the Clipper herbicide is used on specific state plants which do not exist in Barrowsville Pond, therefore, will not be used on Barrowsville Pond.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 8.

Jennifer Carlino asked how the Clearcast will be applied and Mr. Patterson replied a small sprayer would be used delivered by a small boat.

David Henry asked how the contractors would be contracted and Jennifer Carlino replied the projects would go out for bid.

Jennifer Carlino asked if a monitoring schedule is planned for the use of the herbicides and Mr. Patterson replied there will be a schedule because of the strict limited use of the herbicide.

Jennifer Carlino asked if there were any identified significant habitat features found on Barrowsville Pond and Mr. Patterson replied that the entire pond is proposed to be treated because it is entirely covered with water chestnuts and he has not found any significant habitat features because of this.

Motion by Ron O'Reilly to close the public hearing, seconded by Lisa Carrozza. Approved.

7:40 pm Request for a **Determination (DET. #1033) Joseph Fernandes – Parcel 47 (Assessor's Map 32) 456 South Worcester Street** – for proposed plans for a single-family house, driveway, well, septic system and associated grading within 100 feet of wetlands.

Document List

1. WPA Form 1 – Request for Determination of Applicability
2. Plan entitled "Subsurface Sewage Disposal System Plan" for Joe Fernandes for #456 South Worcester Street, prepared by Yarworth Engineering Co., Inc., signed and stamped by Christopher D. Yarworth dated March 10, 2016 with Final Revisions on April 11, 2016.

Attending the public hearing was Chris Saunders, Yarworth Engineering Co., Inc. and Joseph Fernandes.

Mr. Saunders explained that the project involves the construction of a single-family house, well and septic system with associated grading. He said that Jennifer Carlino had one comment and, therefore, the limit of work has been extended to move the project at least 50 feet from the wetlands. He said revised plans have been submitted showing the revision.

Jennifer Carlino suggested moving the post and rail fence to the edge of the field and Mr. Saunders replied that would be acceptable by the applicant and he would be submitting the revised plans tomorrow.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 9.

Motion by Ron O'Reilly to close the public hearing, seconded by Jan Franceschelli. Approved. Motion by Lisa Carrozza to issue a negative (#3) Determination of Applicability after the revised plans have been submitted, seconded by Ron O'Reilly. Approved.

7:45 pm Notice of Intent – Request for an Amendment to Files - **(#250-963 – extension of wastewater treatment plant – Parcel 29- (Assessor's Map 29-74 Hill Street) & (#250-969-infiltration basin project - Parcels 4,6,8 & 63 – (Assessor's Map 24-0) Pine St.(rear), 0 Pine St., 0 (rear) Hill St. & 0 Crane St. (rear)**

Document List

1. Letter dated April 1, 2016 from Magdalena Lofstedt, CDM Smith to Jennifer Carlino requesting an Amendment to the Order of Conditions for #250-963. The request is to remove Special Condition #49 which reads:

“The No-Disturbance Zone shall be demarcated in the field with a visual barrier. Visual barriers shall consist of a 50-foot post and rail fence and 130' length of posts with signs every 25 feet extending the length of the Buffer Zone to the bordering vegetated wetland containing the Certified Vernal Pool along the edge of the proposed access road at the limit of work as shown on the final approved plan and shall contain aluminum marker stating “Buffer Zone, Do Not Disturb”. The visual barrier is a reminder to the landowner that the property contains environmentally sensitive resources and that activities proposed beyond the visual barrier require a new permit. The No Disturbance Zone and visual barrier shall clearly be shown on the As-Built plans and all plans submitted to the Building Inspector and Board of Health.”

Attending the public hearing were David Young and Magdalena Lofstedt, CDM Smith.

Mr. Young commented that he wanted to have a conversation with Jennifer Carlino regarding three issues in the Order of Conditions for #250-963 and #250-969 but, due to schedule conflicts, did not get that opportunity. He said to protect his client he filed an appeal with DEP. He said he was hoping to resolve the issues this evening before meeting with DEP.

Mr. Young pointed out an area at the Hill/Crane Street site (**File #250-963**) where a seven-foot high chain-linked fence with three strands of barbed wire is proposed protecting the vernal pool. He commented that he did not think a post and rail fence was also needed in this area. Jennifer Carlino noted there will be maintenance/clearing up to ten feet behind the chain linked fence during construction and this is why the Commission is requesting a post and rail fence. Mr. Young proposed that he post signs with concrete footings to keep anyone from going near the vernal pool.

Lisa Carrozza asked Mr. Young if he was opposed to putting up a fence or barrier and he replied he was because there was already a seven-foot high chain-linked fence in place. Jennifer Carlino commented

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 10.

the Commission was requiring the post and rail fence around the buffer zone of the vernal pool. Mr. Young asked how long of a distance of a post and rail fence is required. Lisa Carrozza commented the Order of Conditions specifically noted that the fence should be the length of the 100-foot buffer zone around the vernal pool.

David Henry asked approximately how long the fence would be and Mr. Young replied between 150 feet and 200 feet. Lisa Carrozza commented that the requirement to install the post and rail fence would stand. Jennifer Carlino said that a combination of a post and rail fence and signs can be used. She said at least 50 feet of post and rail fence shall be installed and she marked the plans where the fence should go. The Commission agreed to 50 feet of post and rail fence and 60 and 70 feet of signs or markers.

Mr. Young commented that the next issue refers to the Pine/Crane Street site (**File #250-969**). He said the conditions are #54 & #55 which reads as follows:

#54. "The No Disturbance Zone shall be demarcated in the field with a visual barrier. Visual Barriers shall consist of Conservation Commission-approved vegetative screening within 100 feet of all potential vernal pools and aluminum markers stating "Buffer Zone, Do Not Disturb". The visual barrier is a reminder to the landowner and general public that the property contains environmentally sensitive resources and that activities proposed beyond the visual barrier require a new permit. The No Disturbance Zone and visual barrier shall clearly be shown on the As-Built plans and all plans submitted to the Building Inspector and Board of Health."

#55. "the applicant shall submit a visual barrier vegetated screening plan at the locations (1: C-series wetland from sta 14+50 to sta 16+50; 2: B series wetland from sta 13+00 to sta 15+50 and 3: A series wetland from sta 22+00 to sta 25+00) and planting details (minimum species common and scientific names, size, quantity) within the first growing season of clearing and grubbing. The owner may meet with the Conservation Agent in the field to determine the extent of screening required. Planting of shrubs and trees shall be done within the first growing season of installation of the path and properly watered and maintained by a qualified botanist, approved the Conservation Commission. A planting success rate of 80% shall be required for compliance with this condition. Any required replacement planting shall be done at the applicant's expense. All planting shall be done prior to commencement of usage of the infiltration basins. Prior to issuance of a Certificate of Compliance, the owner shall submit visual barrier planting documentation reports from the approved botanist."

Mr. Young pointed out to the members the areas that the conditions are referring to. He commented that there is already an existing topographical barrier therefore no other barrier should be required. He continued to ask that instead of a vegetative barrier could a post and rail fence be used. He commented that the vegetative screen would not grow because of the tree canopy. Lisa commented that the purpose

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 11.

of the fence is to keep anyone walking or riding bikes on the old rail trail from going off the trail into the woods.

Lisa Carrozza commented that Condition #54 can be eliminated at this time which will eliminated Condition #55 also.

Mr. Young commented the next Condition to be discussed is #37 which reads: "A third party Environmental Monitor (EM) shall be required, with expertise in monitoring construction projects, to monitor and enforce the Order of Conditions (OOC) and the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The applicant shall submit the required fee for the EM to the Conservation Commission."

Mr. Young commented that resident engineers will be onsite at all times and it will be their responsibility to make sure contractors will be following all approved plans and documents. He noted that DEP inspects the site once a month. He said that he wants the Commission to be aware of these details before approving all the conditions.

Lisa Carrozza asked who will be doing the SWPPP report and Mr. Young replied a draft has already been submitted by his company and when a contractor has been chosen, they will complete the report and submit it to the Commission.

Lisa Carrozza suggested having the Resident Engineer submit monthly reports rather than a third party consultant and if they are not satisfactory, a third party consultant shall be required.

Lisa Carrozza suggested amending Condition #37 to read:

"A third party Environmental Monitor (EM) shall be required for the rail trail portion of the project, with expertise in monitoring construction projects, to monitor and enforce the Order of Conditions (OOC) and the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The applicant shall submit the required fee for the EM to the Conservation Commission.)".

Mr. Young asked Jennifer Carlino to clarify the Parcel number for recording purposes. Motion by Dan Pearson to amend the project parcel identification number to Assessor's Map 24, Parcels 4, 6, 8 & 63, 0 Pine Street, 0 Pine Street (rear), 0 Hill Street (rear) and 0 Crane Street (rear), seconded by Jan Franceschelli. Approved.

Motion by Lisa Carrozza to delete conditions #54 & #55 from the Order of Conditions for File #250-969, seconded by Jan Franceschelli. Approved.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 12.

Motion by Lisa Carrozza to amend the Order of Conditions for File #250-969 by changing Condition #37 to read “A third party Environmental Monitor (EM) shall be required for the rail trail portion of the project, with expertise in monitoring construction projects, to monitor and enforce the Order of Conditions (OOC) and the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). The applicant shall submit the required fee for the EM to the Conservation Commission.)”, seconded by Jan Franceschelli. Approved.

Motion by Jan Franceschelli to amend the Order of Conditions for File #250-963 by changing Condition #49 to require a 50’ post and rail fence and 130 feet of post with signs along the length of the buffer zone, seconded by Ron O’Reilly. Approved.

Request for a duplicate Certificate of Compliance for **#250-650 – 9 Richardson Avenue.**

Motion by Ron O’Reilly to issue the duplicate Certificate of Compliance, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

REQUEST FOR A PARTIAL/FULL CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

#250-942
(full)

Ashley Ware

Parcel 29 (Map 11)
71 Leonard Street

---upgrade sanitary disposal system/grading

Jennifer Carlino stated the project was complete. Motion by Ron O’Reilly to issue the Certificate of Compliance, seconded by Jan Franceschelli. Approved.

OLD BUSINESS

Violations:

90A Freeman violation – No new information – No new information

241 Dean Street – No new information – No new information

#250-957, #250-958 & #250-959, Mary Joe Road – No new information

NEW BUSINESS

MEPA meeting and DEP Appeals – DEP #250-957, #250-958 & #250-959, Mary Joe Road

Jennifer Carlino stated the meeting was held and there are changes to the draft letter. Motion by Ron O’Reilly made a motion to sign the letter as drafted, seconded by Dan Pearson. Approved.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, April 11, 2016
Minutes, Page 13.

Jennifer Carlino noted she received a Notice of Project Change for the Turtle Crossing 40B project and stated that they will be requesting an Amendment to the Order of Conditions at the next meeting.

Jennifer Carlino noted that the Founders Day picnic will be held on June 18, 2016 with a rain date of June 25, 2016.

Jennifer Carlino noted that the CRAAC Awareness Day will be held on April 30, 2016 at the Easton Water Department from 10-12 noon.

Reservoir Update
Report from Staff
Site visits
Waterbodies Committee update

Grants

The meeting adjourned at 10:10 pm.

Minutes Approved by Committee on: _____
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

Signature:

(Name)

Chairman, Norton Conservation Commission