

Monday, November 25, 2013

### **Attendance**

David Henry (Chairman), Ron O'Reilly (Vice-Chairman),  
Julian Kadish, Lisa Carrozza, Scott Ollerhead  
and Jennifer Carlino, Conservation Agent

Chris Baker was absent.

### **Minutes**

David Henry called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (W.B. Mason). Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (Pare Corporation). Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (UMass). Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (Chartley Landscape). Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (Ground water model). Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to pay the bill. Approved.

Discussion: Matt Bellisle – Pare Corporation, John Eichman, Kopelman & Paige – Norton Reservoir Dam Spillway design.

Matt Bellisle noted that this was a continuation of the discussion from the last meeting regarding the maximum elevation of the dam. He said that this is Phase II. He commented that Phase I involved tree removal, embankment repair and erosion repair. He noted that Phase II involves armoring the embankment to allow the structure to be overtopped during the spillway design flood.

Mr. Bellisle stated that at the completion of Phase I, concerns regarding the allowable water rights by the Town were brought up. He noted that after some research, it was decided that the Town owns an elevation below the crest of the dam, just slightly above the normal pool elevation.

**Norton Conservation Commission**

**Monday, November 25, 2013**

**Minutes, Page 2.**

Mr. Bellisle said that Pare Corp. was debating the design. He said if they design only to the elevation below the crest of the dam, they would not be able to use the method originally proposed for overtopping the structure. He said a newer spillway would have to be used which would cost the town significantly more money.

John Eichman stated that he had already responded to this issue last July. Mr. Eichman noted after some research he discovered that an abutter, the Demers, to the Reservoir was utilizing Town property on the Reservoir. He said that the Demers were in the process of selling their home. He said that a title search had been done and it was discovered that they were actually using Town property. Mr. Eichman noted that the properties most likely to flood around the dam were originally conveyed to the Wading River Company. He said two bolts were set to mark the elevation levels. He noted that the Wading River Company was allowed to set the elevation of the dam at the higher level which is determined to be 101.76 elevation.

Mr. Eichman noted that the Town has since reclaimed these properties and it is determined that the Town owns to the first bolt on the post which is a 98.76 elevation level. He said that the Town has the right to flow the water in the dam to the higher bolt at the elevation level of 101.76. Mr. Eichman commented that he has advised Pare Corp. not to design anything that will go higher than the highest elevation of 101.76 to avoid any damage liability.

Mr. Bellisle stated that at the present time, the elevation of the Norton Reservoir is at 100.9. He stated that other methods of designing the spillway are being considered. He said that the cost to design the spillway is going to be considerably higher than originally quoted.

Julian Kadish questioned the validity of the concerns noting that most of the areas that might be flooded are lawns. He said the chance of properties being flooded will be increased by the methods used to repair the spillway.

Mr. Eichman stated that the dam has to be repaired at this time. He said that the Town has to abide by the elevation levels that are listed in the deed to the Reservoir. He noted that the Office of Dam Safety has to approve the design that is proposed.

Matt Bellisle commented that if there is a major storm event, even before the dam is opened to let water out, properties downstream will already have been affected by the storm and these areas will be areas that have already been disturbed.

Julian Kadish suggested that if working gates were in place, the water level could be regulated during stormy weather events. He said that he is in favor of a less expensive automatic system as opposed to a functioning system that might be installed and then certain people would be involved during a storm event. He suggested installing a functional gate system to be regulated by a designated operator.

**Norton Conservation Commission**  
**Monday, November 25, 2013**  
**Minutes, Page 3.**

Mike Yunits, Town Manager, asked Mr. Bellisle if there were a 100-yr storm tomorrow, would the elevation of the dam rise only to 100.76' and Mr. Bellisle replied it would. Mr. Yunits commented that if the state did not have the requirement to be prepared for the 1000-yr storm, there would be no problem with the dam and Mr. Bellisle replied this was true.

Mr. Bellisle noted that he had approached the Office of Dam Safety regarding reducing the requirement to a 750-yr storm event and they did not agree with this revision. He said that they may agree at some point to reduce the size of the storm event enough to reduce the size of the spillway but he did note that he doubted it would be lower than a 500-yr. storm event. He replied he would contact The Office of Dam Safety to see if they would re-consider a reduction in the requirement for the size of a major storm event.

Matt Bellisle suggested holding a working meeting with The Office of Dam Safety re: the ½ PMF. He said he will contact the DCR and be able to attend a workshop.

The members reviewed a Request for a Determination of Applicability – **(DET. #999) – John Adams – Parcel 125 (Assessor's Map 28) 7 Eileen Road** – for proposed plans to install a well within 100 feet of wetlands.

Document List

1. WPA Form 1 – Request for a Determination of Applicability
2. Plan entitled "Proposed Well Location Plan for No. 7 Eileen Road, Norton, MA, Prepared by RIM Engineering Co., Inc., Scale 1"=20', signed and stamped by Craig E. Cygawnoski and dated October 18, 2013.

Present at the public hearing were John Adams and Earl Chartier, RIM Engineering Co., Inc.

Mr. Chartier explained that the applicant is proposing to install an irrigation well at the rear right portion of the yard which is presently lawn. He said that the well is proposed 105 feet from the septic system. He said that a variance for the distance from the septic tank will be applied for from the Board of Health.

Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to close the public hearing. Approved.

Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to issue a negative (#3) Determination of Applicability as long as the work is done according to the approved plans. Approved.

The members reviewed a Notice of Intent – **(#250-913) – Andrea Ashburn – Parcel 124 (Map 28) 9 Eileen Road** – for proposed plans to construct an addition within 100 feet of wetlands.

**Norton Conservation Commission**  
**Monday, November 25, 2013**  
**Minutes, Page 4.**

Document List

1. WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
2. Plan entitled “Proposed House Addition at Hse. No. 9 Eileen Road in Norton, MA., Scale 1”=20’, Prepared by RIM Engineering Co., Inc., signed and stamped by Craig E. Cygawnoski dated October 22, 2013 with latest revisions on **November 22, 2013**.
3. Letter dated November 19, 2013 from Jennifer Carlino to Ralph Maloon.

Present at the public hearing were Andrea Ashburn and Earl Chartier, Engineer, RIM Engineering Co., Inc.

Earl Chartier of RIM Engineering Co., Inc. described the project to the members. He stated that he has submitted revised plans per Jennifer Carlino’s letter of November 19<sup>th</sup> requesting:

1. Grading does not appear to reflect the actual topography in the location of the proposed addition. Please revise plan to accurately reflect this area.
2. Plans do not show grading. Add proposed grading.
3. There does not appear to be adequate room to maneuver machinery within the limit of work.
4. Show the rerouted water line on the plan.
5. Does the addition require an expansion of the existing septic system?

Mr. Chartier commented that the addition is a family room and a bathroom which does require an upgrade of the septic system. He noted that the grading is relatively flat and the existing grades will lead the runoff away from the dwelling. He explained that the water line could be re-routed if necessary. Mr. Chartier pointed out an area of access for machinery located at the rear left corner of the proposed foundation.

Mr. Chartier noted that a 10” white pine tree would be removed. Lisa Carrozza asked if the work was proposed this year and Ms. Ashburn replied probably next spring.

Jennifer Carlino stated the following conditions shall be added to the order:

1. the limit of work be staked in the field by the engineer before work is started
2. removing the tree before work is started
3. the contractor shall notify her where the irrigation line is going before any work has started
4. any disturbed areas of the slide slope shall be restored

Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Ron O’Reilly, to close the public hearing. Approved. Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to sign and issue the Order of Conditions as amended with the 4 added conditions. Approved.

The members reviewed a Notice of Intent – **(#250-888) – Turtle Crossing, LLC – Parcels 4 & 22 (Assessor’s Map 2) – Newland Street – (cont. from the November 4, 2013 mtg.)** - for proposed plans to construct 7 buildings, 8 garages, driveways, parking, utilities and associated grading within 100 feet of wetlands.

**Norton Conservation Commission**  
**Monday, November 25, 2013**  
**Minutes, Page 5.**

Document List

1. WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
2. Stormwater Report
3. Plans entitled “Turtle Crossing Comprehensive Permit Application Local Initiative Program, Newland Street, Norton, MA, prepared by Level Design Group and signed and stamped by Nicola Facendola dated April 12, 2012.
4. Turtle Crossing Comprehensive Permit-Local Initiative Program, AM2/Parcels 4 & 22, Newland Street, Norton, Massachusetts, Off-Site LP Sewer Line dated March 5, 2012. (Scale 1”=40’)
5. Letter dated July 24, 2013 from Jennifer Carlino to Dustin DiNunzio.
6. Letter from Level Design Group dated August 29, 2013. (Response to BSC Group)
7. Letter from Level Design Group dated August 29, 2013. (Response to BSC Group)
8. Letter from Level Design Group dated September 3, 2013 (Response to Jennifer Carlino’s letter of June 28, 2012).
9. Letter from Level Design Group dated September 5, 2013 with submittal of revised plans dated February 25, 2013, revised Site Plans dated August 29, 2013, modified Notice of Intent, revised Stormwater report August 29, 2013.
10. Comment 10-5-13 site inspection letter by Jennifer Carlino.
11. Email letter received from Atty. Jamy Madeja dated October 28, 2013
12. Memo dated November 5, 2014 to ZBA from Jennifer Carlino
13. Response letter from the Norton Conservation Commission to Level Design Group dated November 5, 2013.
14. Contract Amendment to the BSC Group invoice for \$3,200 dated November 13, 2013.

Dan Campbell of Level Design Group addressed the Commission. He stated he wanted to go over the changes discussed at the previous meeting before sending the revised plans to BSC Group.

1. He stated Building 1 has been moved further away from the potential vernal pool and has pushed the driveway further south. He noted all the utilities are out of the 25-ft. no-touch zone. He said he eliminated all the grading along the slope of the berm and stated that the grade at the rear of the building is 104’ which is the same grade as the top of the berm. He said there would be no more grading behind building which varies from 102’ to 104’. He stated there would be no more clearing past the top of the berm.
2. He stated that the bank of the pond has been delineated and he will add the details to the final plans.
3. He pointed out an area on the peninsula for an access to the pond. He said he could delineate a secondary access area for the pond to the rear of the pond.

Dan Campbell stated that he had talked to the applicant regarding the Peer Review check which he said was sent to the Peer Review in error and was returned to him. He stated the applicant will send the check to the Conservation Commission as soon as possible.

**Norton Conservation Commission**

**Monday, November 25, 2013**

**Minutes, Page 6.**

Jennifer Carlino noted that she had asked for clarification on the Comprehensive Permit and was informed that this was going to be discussed at tonight's ZBA meeting.

Dan Campbell stated that the final revised packet will be sent to the Commission and to the Peer Review (BSC Group) this Wednesday.

Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to continue the public hearing until Monday, December 16, 2013. Approved.

The members reviewed a Notice of Intent – **(#250-914) – Bernard Marshall/Norton Water Department – Parcels 2 & 3 (Assessor's Map 19) off Plain Street** – to perform soil borings and test pits within 100 feet of wetlands and to the Canoe River.

Document List

1. WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
2. Plan entitled Town of Norton, Massachusetts Norton Water Department, Proposed Norton Water Treatment Plant, "Field Investigation (Pre-Design Borings and Test Pits) Prepared by Weston & Sampson, signed and stamped by Barbara K. Cook dated November 8, 2013.
3. Notice of Intent Supplemental Package:
  - a. DEP Form 3-Replacement page 3, to show new impact calculations
  - b. Project Description (Appendix A) – Replacement of entire section to reflect new Riverfront Area delineation
  - c. Plan Sheet C-1 (Appendix D) – Replacement plan to reflect new Riverfront Area delineation
  - d. Additional information – Environmental Resource Area Evaluation – Narrative of Delineation Process.
  - e. Additional Information – FEMA - Map – 100 and 500-Year Flood Zone Information.

Present at the public hearing were Bernard Marshall, Water/Sewer Superintendent, Tony Zerilli of Weston & Sampson and Frank Yanaskewicz of Weston & Sampson.

Frank Yanaskewicz stated that about two years ago Weston & Sampson was contracted to do a Feasibility Study of the iron and manganese levels. He said that the largest wells in town, #4, #5 & #6 have the highest levels of iron and manganese. He said that the Water Department would like to build a water treatment plant to treat the water from wells #4, #5 & #6.

Mr. Yanaskewicz said that the town has appropriated money for the design only at this time. He said they have applied for a 2% loan with DEP for the actual construction. He said funding will have to be appropriated at the annual spring town meeting and design work has to be completed by October. He noted construction can begin one year from this spring. He said that the construction would take approximately one and a half years.

**Norton Conservation Commission**  
**Monday, November 25, 2013**  
**Minutes, Page 7.**

Mr. Tony Zerilli stated that a separate Notice of Intent will be submitted for the construction itself. He said this application is associated with the design process which could take up to a year.

Mr. Zerilli noted that there are two separate locations; 1. the water treatment facility location which at which 3 borings will be located. He said the borings are not shown on the plan, but the locations are. He said 2 borings will be located on an upland area and 1 in the Riverfront Area. Mr. Zerilli pointed out the locations of the 10 test pits on the other side of the river noting that there will be 2 borings as well. He said that 5 test pits will be located in an upland area and 3 borings will be located within a resource area. He noted that the 2 borings are needed for the directional drilling process. He said it is better to do the borings in the winter time to reduce the amount of disturbance to the soils.

Mr. Zerilli pointed out the Bordering Vegetated Wetland area. He said that Jennifer Carlino suggested he locate the Mean Annual High Water mark in the river which he said basically matched flag #51 that Jennifer Carlino had placed.

Jennifer Carlino asked why there were spot elevations in the river and Mr. Zerilli replied that at the time of the original survey, he said that 2 crossings were proposed but only 1 crossing will be needed. She stated that she would like the floodplain elevation and contours shown on the plans clearly. Jennifer Carlino noted that the property lines were unclear. Mr. Zerilli replied that the property lines were taken from the GIS maps and a survey crew was contracted to confirm the property lines. Jennifer Carlino requested that the plan dates and revision dates be clearly shown on the plans.

Lisa Carrozza asked if the 3 borings were going to be done within the Riverfront Area. Mr. Zerilli replied that the borings would not be in the river channel but would be below the Mean Annual High Water level. She asked how they plan to show details for Canoe River and he replied that details would be submitted as well as details on the soils below the river. Mr. Zerilli noted that drilling usually is done at least 25 to 30 feet below the lowest elevation.

Lisa Carrozza asked where the equipment access would be and Mr. Zerilli replied it would be on Plain Street. She asked about tree clearing and he replied no mature trees would be cut, probably just brush to allow the drill rig to go through. She asked when work would start and Mr. Zerilli replied as soon as possible. She asked how he planned on stabilizing the disturbed areas, such as the test pits, during the winter and he replied the holes would be back filled and driven over. He noted there was only leaf litter in these areas presently. Mr. Zerilli commented that all areas to be drilled will be staked in the field once the design is final.

Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to continue the public hearing until Monday, December 16, 2013 at which time the draft Order of Conditions will be reviewed. Approved.

The members reviewed an Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation – (#250-916) – **Lee Azinheira/Town of Mansfield – Parcel 4 (Assessor's Map 24) & Parcel 63 (Assessor's Map 24) 0 Pine Street** – for verification of the wetland resource areas.

Document List

1. WPA For 4A – Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation
2. Plan entitled “Town of Mansfield, Massachusetts, Mansfield Comprehensive Wastewater Management Plan, Reilly Property Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation.”
  - prepared by CDM Smith
  - signed and stamped by Edward C. Sanderson
  - scale = 1”=40’
  - dated 12/9/13
  - sheets 1 to 6

Present at the public hearing were Lee Azinheira and Magdalena Lofstedt, PWS, CDM Smith

Mr. Azinheira commented that it depends on how well suited the land is for effluent disposal. He said that the acquisition of the property is based on the premise that if the site is suitable, that it is utilized for effluent disposal, that the field will then go into Preservation Trust automatically per the Purchase and Sales Agreement.

Ms. Magdalena updated the commission on the proposed project. She said that the applicant is trying to get the wetland boundaries delineated in order to design the Wastewater Management plant outside of the buffer zone of the wetlands. She said that she delineated the seven Wetland Resource areas herself this past July which she proceeded to point out to the members. She noted that the area to be used would be in the upper third of the property and said she did not delineate the areas that would not be used for the project. Ms. Magdalena commented that there are two certified vernal pools on the neighboring private property.

Ms. Magdalena noted she had received a comment letter from Jennifer Carlino and will respond to it as best as she can.

**1. clarification on Attachment A: Wetland Description and Figure 1**

--- she said she inadvertently left out of the ANRAD application but has since added it.

**2. revision to the top sheet that illustrates Kok property**

---she has revised the cover sheet to the plan set to show only the Reilly property.

**3. additional sheet that illustrates the whole property and wetland resource areas.**

---she submitted a copy of the plan showing the entire property.

**1. Sheet 1. The stream is not located on the plan. The stream was flowing on November 19, 2013. MAHWM flags are placed in the field but not on the plan. Please explain the discrepancy. Also, please provide StreamStats information regarding the stream.**



-- she answered that Dora Brook is located off the property and is classified as intermittent according to the definition of a perennial stream in the Massachusetts Wetlands Protection Act Regulations (310 CMR 10.58(2)) since it is not shown on the USGS map quadrangle of the area and has a watershed size that is less than one-half (0.50) square mile (see attached Stream Stats information). The MAHWM flags were placed before we determined that the stream is intermittent. The intent of the MAHWM flags were to determine the limit of 200-ft Riverfront Area, if present. Since the stream is intermittent there is no 200-ft Riverfront Area associated with Dora Brook on the property.

**2. Sheet 3. Please add the Certified Vernal Pool (CVP) numbers to the plans. Wetland flag series 7 contains a potential vernal pool. Please identify the potential vernal pool on the plan.**

Jennifer Carlino replied she could get the numbers from the GIS map.

**3. Sheet 4. Wetland flags end at WF#1-158 but the wetland and stream continue within the property. Please complete the wetland boundary.**

---she commented that there would be no work beyond this point.

**4. Sheet 5. There is a small wetland area to the northwest of flag WF#1-29, across the carpath. Please delineate the wetland, provide a classification and if isolated, please provide the appropriate calculations. Please also label this area as a potential vernal pool.**

---she stated there will not be any work or excavation in this area. She said she has received permission to use the existing cart path. She agreed to go back out and re-evaluate this area.

Lisa Carrozza suggested labeling the wetlands on the plans even if they are not within the work area. Ms. Magdalena replied she would.

Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to continue the public hearing until Monday, December 16, 2013. Approved. Jennifer Carlino stated she would have the draft ORAD to be reviewed and signed for that meeting.

The members reviewed an Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation – (#250-915) – **DSR Corporation – Parcels 57 & 238 to 245 (Assessor's Map 17) 0 East Main Street** - for verification of the wetland resource areas.

Document List

1. Form 4A – Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation
2. Plan entitled "Plan to Accompany Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation, East Main Street, Norton, MA., Scale 1"=80', prepared by Westgate Associates, signed and stamped by Robert A. Junior and dated June 20, 2013 (Sheets 1-3).

Present at the public hearing were Frank Westgate, Engineer from Westgate Associates and John P. Rockwood, PWS from EcoTech.

**Norton Conservation Commission**  
**Monday, November 25, 2013**  
**Minutes, Page 10.**

Mr. Rockwood stated that the property consists of 43 acres and residential development is proposed. He noted that approximately 28 acres will be within 100 feet of wetlands.

Mr. Rockwood commented that the site contains Land Under Water which is under the Rumford River, a perennial stream running along side the property and 2 intermittent streams. He said there is Riverfront Area associated with the Rumford River as well as Bordering Lands Subject to Flooding. He said there is BVW associated with the streams. He commented that there are no vernal pools nor potential vernal pools on the property. (by the Mass GIS map)

Lisa Carrozza asked what the Floodplain elevation was and he replied it is 73.5 ft. Jennifer Carlino requested Mr. Rockwood provide Isolated Land Subject to Flooding calculations. She noted that she has located six potential vernal pools on the site. She said she would continue her inspection of the Riverfront Area. She noted that the culvert actually comes out at flag #97 and not flag #96. Mr. Rockwood replied he would revise the plans.

Jennifer Carlino had a couple of comments as follows and that she will type a letter to include all the information for:

She asked that another look be taken at the following wetland areas:

X52 – move 5 feet upland.

X37 – move 5 feet upland. She said she added another flag between 37 and 38.

Z41 & Z42 – She asked if any soils data is available for this area.

6 Potential Vernal Pools

She noted that a spotted turtle had been identified last spring between Y20 & Z23 and said they had discussed possible crossings for the wildlife habitat.

She requested ILSF calcs be submitted for the I & J series wetland flags.

She commented that she has not finished the mean annual high water mark inspection yet.

She asked that the Floodplain elevations be noted on the plans.

Lisa Carrozza asked Mr. Rockwood how he arrived at the conclusion that the upper stream was intermittent and he replied that he had used a computer program to do the caluations.

Jennifer Carlino commented that she would check the site again before the next meeting.

Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to continue the public hearing until Monday, December 16, 2014. Approved. Jennifer Carlino said she would have the ORAD ready for the meeting for the members to sign.

The members reviewed a request for a partial Certificate of Compliance for **#250-897 – Emerson Martins, Best Hands Construction & Repairs, Inc. – Parcels 62 & 128 (Map 17) 142 East Main Street.**

Jennifer Carlino commented that the truck wash area was finished, the visual barrier is in and the garage is mainly for storage without a drain so no tight tank was needed. She said the bond would be only for the As-Built plans. Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to issue the partial Certificate of Compliance as long as the applicant posts an \$800 bond with the Town Treasurer for the As-Built plans. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **#250-475 – Dan Andrade Construction Co., Inc., c/o Tom & Ellen Dugan – Parcel 162-11 (Map 4) 8 Bryson Drive.** Jennifer Carlino stated the poles and signs have been installed. Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to issue the Certificate of Compliance. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **#250-891 – Wheaton College – Kevin Roffi – Parcels 14 & 51 – (Maps 17 & 23) East Main Street.** (track and field) Jennifer Carlino commented that the area looks good. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to issue the Certificate of Compliance. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for and Extension Permit for **#250-756 – Sinclair Manufacturing Co., David LeMieux – Parcels 124-01, 126, 127 & 127-01 (Assessor's Map 26) 12 South Worcester Street.** Ron O'Reilly made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to issue a two-year Extension Permit. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for an Extension Permit for **#250-866 – Paul Barron – Parcel 174 (Assessor's Map 12) 55 Lincoln Street.** Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to issue a two-year Extension Permit. Approved.

## **OLD BUSINESS**

### Violations:

Alder Road – Jennifer Carlino noted that all the plantings were done but 3 shrubs were removed in one location. She said 1 dock and stone remains but the Selectmen have sent a letter giving two weeks to remove. She said she asked the Town Manager to follow up on the removal.

East Hodges Street – Fred Bottomley – Scott Ollerhead stated he did a site inspection of the driveway and commented that the owner had placed a large amount of rip rap on this area and it was running into the wetlands. Jennifer Carlino commented that the top of the rip rap has been re-graded leaving a small berm on each side of the road.

**Norton Conservation Commission**  
**Monday, November 25, 2013**  
**Minutes, Page 12.**

Jennifer Carlino noted there was nothing new for :

12 Forest Lane  
6 Rumford Road  
7 Todd Drive  
14 Laura Lane violation  
112 Mansfield Avenue  
243 So. Worcester Street violation

**NEW BUSINESS**

South Coast Rail, FEIR Certificate. Jennifer Carlino stated the Certificate was issued. She said that Stoughton electric is the preferred alternative and not the route through Norton.

Discussion ensued regarding the comment letter written by Jennifer Carlino addressed to Tom Noel, Chairman, Zoning Board of Appeals for the Island Brook 40B project. The letter requested clarification as to the applicant requesting a waiver to allow buildings within the Wetland Protection District and the further development of plans that continue to illustrate activity within 25 feet of the wetland boundaries. Jennifer Carlino commented that the ZBA can waive "policies".

**Site visits – Alder Rd., East Hodges St., East Main St. and Plain St.**

Lisa Carrozza commented that Fred Bottomley is going to be asked to remove sediment buildup on each side of the road where he has placed rip rap.

Jennifer Carlino noted that Dave Lennon did not attend the joint meeting with the Waterbodies Committee. David Henry noted that he did attend the meeting.

Jennifer Carlino noted that a meeting had been held with the Department of Transportation re: the Plain Street bridge replacement at the Canoe River. She said they want to remove a couple of existing culverts and replace them with box culverts. She said there will be a meeting on the 25% design this January.

Scott Ollerhead made a motion, seconded by Ron O'Reilly, to adjourn the meeting at 9:49 pm. Approved.

Minutes Approved by Committee on: \_\_\_\_\_  
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

*Signature:*

\_\_\_\_\_  
( Name)

Chairman, \_\_\_\_\_