

Monday, August 13, 2012

Attendance

David Henry (Chairman), Julian Kadish, Lisa Carrozza, Michele Simoneaux, Scott Ollerhead and Jennifer Carlino, Conservation Agent

Ron O'Reilly (Vice-Chairman) and Chris Baker were absent.

Minutes

David Henry called the meeting to order at 7:00 pm.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (Verizon). Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Michele Simoneaux, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (Universe Business Supply). Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Scott Ollerhead, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the Bills Payable Sheet (Chartley Landscape). Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Michele Simoneaux, to pay the bill. Approved.

The members reviewed the draft minutes for April 9, 2012. Michele Simoneaux noted that on page 5. the word Attorney should precede Matt Wattsky. Michele Simoneaux made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to accept the minutes as amended. Approved.

The members reviewed a Notice of Intent – **Malek Khadzhem/USA Stepwise Processes LLC – (#250-892) -Parcel 76 (Assessor's Map 18) 117 Plain Street** – for proposed plans to repair/replace a septic system and for wetland mitigation, construction of a deck and approval of a foot bridge within 100 feet of wetlands.

Document List

1. WPA Form 3 – Notice of Intent
2. Plan entitled "Plan to Accompany a Conservation Application/Plan and Details, Subsurface Sewage Disposal System Upgrade for 117 Plain St., Norton, MA prepared by Collins Civil Engineering Group, Inc., signed and stamped by George R. Collins, Scale of 1"=20' dated June 22, 2012, **Revised** on July 18, 2012.

Present at the public hearing were Malek Khadzhem and David Klenert of Collins Engineering Group, Inc. who described the project to the members.

Norton Conservation Commission

Monday, August 13, 2012

Minutes, Page 2.

He noted that there was a brook about half way into the property which runs into the Canoe River. He described to the members what the colors on the submitted plan depicted. He said that the project is to replace the existing septic system with a new system. He said that the existing system is located on the Northeast portion of the property which will be abandoned and filled with sand. Mr. Klenert stated that the proposed system, located in the North West corner of the property, has been located as far from the wetlands as possible without ripping up the driveway. He noted that the system will be slightly elevated, the street being 79.5 feet and the system being 81 feet. Mr. Klenert stated that silt fence will be in place and not removed until the area is fully stabilized.

Mr. Klenert noted that there will be no stockpiling on the site, all fill will be removed from the site, which will be approximately 30 inches. He pointed out the proposed 12' x 16' deck on the plans to be located at the back of the house and within the 50-foot buffer zone of a wetland. Mr. Klenert stated that the applicant plans to move a shed that is located within the 100-foot buffer zone of a wetland as well as install a chain-linked fence 5 feet from the wetlands. He noted that all areas beyond the fence will be allowed to re-grow to its original state. He mentioned that he had added to the plan a footbridge that had been constructed by the previous owner.

Mr. Klenert stated that George Collins had suggested using 8 sweet pepper bushes for plantings for the wetland mitigation.

Jennifer Carlino noted that some of the flags were missing and Mr. Khadzhem replied that the bank had been out to mow the lawn and maybe moved some of the flags. Mr. Klenert said he would go back to the property and stake the areas where the work was going to be performed and have the Commission check the areas.

Michele Simoneaux asked how the shed was going to be removed from the wetlands. Mr. Klenert replied that either by crane or by dragging it out. Mr. Khadzhem stated the shed was on a slab which is now in pieces and will be removed along with the shed. Lisa Carrozza asked what the elevation of the floodzone area was and Mr. Klenert replied approximately 75 feet. Michele Simoneaux asked Jennifer Carlino if she noticed if any of the altered area had been filled or just mowed and she replied that it looked to her like it was just mowed.

Michele Simoneaux asked if there would be a gap under the proposed fence and Mr. Klenert stated that there would be. Jennifer Carlino suggested that the existing path be defined and left as is to prevent anyone from widening it without a permit. Mr. Klenert stated that once the silt fence is installed he will stake out where the fence, septic system and addition are going. Lisa Carrozza noted that only silt fence should be used but not hay bales. Michele Simoneaux made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to close the public hearing. Approved.

The members reviewed a Request for a Determination of Applicability – **Catherine McKnight – (DET. #986) – Parcel 197 (Assessor's Map 16) 81 Freeman Street** – for proposed construction of a 8' x 12' bridge within 100 feet of Norton Reservoir. (post facto)

Norton Conservation Commission

Monday, August 13, 2012

Minutes, Page 3.

Document List

1. WPA Form 1 – Request for a Determination of Applicability
2. Plan entitled “Sanitary Disposal Repair for Stanley Walasavage at 81 Freeman Street in Norton, MA, Scale 1”=30’ with latest revision on December 14, 2006, prepared, signed and stamped by John F. Vance, Jr.

Catherine McKnight described the project to the Commission. She stated that the project was completed without a permit because she was not aware that a permit was needed to build a bridge on her property. Jennifer Carlino noticed the bridge while performing a compliance inspection for their cutting violation. She noted that the Legal Ad that was published for this project stated that the project was within 100 feet of Norton Reservoir and she said she measured the distance and the bridge was constructed more than 100 feet from Norton Reservoir. She said that the area under the bridge is overgrown and dry 98% of the time and only collects water when it rains. She said that water from Freeman Street flows into the ditch from time to time. Jennifer Carlino stated that the stream is an extension of the stream from across the street and has been inspected many times throughout the years.

Ms. McKnight stated that she has been prohibited from going into the wetlands for any reason and Julian Kadish clarified that by stating that walking is allowed but construction and storage of any kind in not allowed. He said that certain projects are allowed with a permit. Michele Simoneaux asked what the bridge was used for and Ms. McKnight said many just for walking over the ditch. Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Julian Kadish, to close the public hearing. Approved. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to issue a negative (#3) Determination of Applicability as long as the work was done according to the submitted information. Approved.

The members reviewed a Request for a Determination of Applicability – **Chris Cunniff- (DET #987) - Parcel 198 (Assessors map 17), Willis Drive** – for proposed plans to grade and construct a lawn within the 100 ft. buffer zone of a bordering vegetated wetland.

Document List

1. WPA Form 2 – Determination of Applicability
2. Plan entitled Sewage Disposal Plan for Lot 7, Willis Drive in Norton, MA, Scale 1”=30’, prepared by RIM Engineering Co., Inc. signed and stamped by Ralph I. Maloon, dated May 7, 2012 with latest revisions on July 24, 2012.

Earl Chartier of RIM Engineering described the project to the members. He stated the applicant is proposing to construct a 26’x36’ house with a 24’x24’ garage and a paved driveway. He said that the septic system is proposed 131 ½ feet from the wetlands. Mr. Chartier stated that the grading for the yard will encroach into the 100-foot buffer zone, but siltation control will be in place before any work is done. He noted that a post and rail fence is proposed as a visual barrier to prevent any encroachment into the wetlands.

Mr. Chartier pointed out the area where the 100-year floodplain elevation is at 73 feet and he noted there are no rivers within 200 feet of the project. Jennifer Carlino stated that was one of the questions in her letter dated August 6, 2012 and asked Mr. Chartier how he arrived to that conclusion. He stated that he did a site visit as well as had a surveyor check out the site. Jennifer Carlino asked Mr. Chartier

Norton Conservation Commission

Monday, August 13, 2012

Minutes, Page 4.

if the surveyor had walked the oxbow and if he did, did he find mean annual high water mark indicators. Mr. Chartier replied he did not. Michele Simoneaux asked if a wetland scientist had walked the site, and Mr. Chartier replied that one did not. She replied that the site should be walked by a wetland scientist. Julian Kadish asked if the issue at hand regarding the oxbow might put the project within 200 feet of a river and Jennifer Carlino replied it would. Mr. Chartier stated he could contact a wetland scientist to walk the site. Lisa Carrozza asked why a walk out basement could not be constructed rather than filling the back of the house and Earl Chartier suggested it was probably a preference of the homeowner or the builder.

Lisa Carrozza requested that Mr. Chartier ask if a walk out basement could be constructed and he agreed he would ask. Michele Simoneaux made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to continue the public hearing until the next regular meeting of Monday, August 27, 2012. Approved.

The members reviewed a Notice of Intent – **Bay Road Heights/Shawn Kelly – (#250-871) - Parcels 27, 29, 30 & 131 (Assessor's Map 12) & portions of Bay Road, off Bay Road (Phase 2 of Bay Road Heights 40B) – (cont. from the June 13, 2011, June 27, 2011, July 11, 2011, August 8, 2011, September 26, 2011, October 17, 2011, November 14, 2011, December 19, 2011, January 23, 2012, February 27, 2012, March 26, 2012, April 23, 2012, May 21, 2012, June 11, 2012, June 25, 2012 & July 9, 2012 mtgs.)** - for proposed plans to extend a water main, construct a roadway, 11-lot subdivision and storm water management within 100 feet of wetlands.

Document List

1. WPA Form 3-Notice of Intent
2. Plan entitled "Phasing Plan, Bay Road Heights in Norton, Massachusetts prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated with a scale of 1"=50' dated February 23, 2011 and signed and stamped by Rene L. Gagnon, RPE (sheets 1 & 2).
3. Plans entitled "Bay Road Heights, A Residential Development in Norton, Massachusetts, prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated signed and stamped by Rene L. Gagnon, RPE (sheets 1 to 13) dated January 9, 2007 with latest revisions of October 14, 2010.
4. Drainage Report, Bay Road Heights, Norton, Massachusetts, A Proposed Housing Development in the Town of Norton, MA prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated dated June 24, 2010.
5. Addendum to Drainage Report, Bay Road Heights, Norton, Massachusetts, A Proposed Housing Development in the Town of Norton, MA prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated dated October 12, 2010.
6. Letter dated June 6, 2011 from Jennifer Carlino to Seth Dufort of Outback Engineering.
7. Letter dated June 29, 2011 to the Conservation Commission from Chessia Consulting.
8. Letter dated September 16, 2011 from James Pavlik, Project Manager for Outback Engineering to Secretary Richard K. Sullivan, Jr.
9. Letter dated September 26, 2011 from Chessia Consulting to the Conservation Commission.

Norton Conservation Commission

Monday, August 13, 2012

Minutes, Page 5.

10. Letter dated September 27, 2011 from Mass Audubon to Secretary Richard K. Sullivan, Jr.
11. Letter dated December 1, 2011 from James Pavlik, Project Manager for Outback Engineering.
12. Letter dated December 15, 2011 from NHESP to Bay Road Heights.
13. Letter dated December 8, 2011 to the Norton Conservation Commission from Jake Kubel, Conservation Scientist.
14. Response letter addressed to Jennifer Carlino dated August 8, 2012.

AND

Notice of Intent – **Bay Road Heights/Shawn Kelly – (#250-872) - Parcels 29, 30 & 131 (Assessor's Map 12) off Bay Road (Phase 3 of Bay Road Heights 40B) – (cont. from the June 13, 2011, June 27, 2011, July 11, 2011, August 8, 2011, September 26, 2011, October 17, 2011, November 14, 2011, December 19, 2011, January 23, 2012, February 27, 2012, March 26, 2012, April 23, 2012, May 21, 2012, June 11, 2012, June 25, 2012 & July 9, 2012 mtgs.)** - for proposed plans to install a 23-unit condo complex, road, storm water management and utilities within 100 feet of wetlands.

Document List

1. WPA Form 3-Notice of Intent
2. Plan entitled "Phasing Plan, Bay Road Heights in Norton, Massachusetts prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated with a scale of 1"=50' dated February 23, 2011 and signed and stamped by Rene L. Gagnon, RPE (sheets 1 & 2).
3. Plans entitled "Bay Road Heights, A Residential Development in Norton, Massachusetts, prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated signed and stamped by Rene L. Gagnon, RPE (sheets 1 to 13) dated January 9, 2007 with latest revisions of October 14, 2010.
4. Drainage Report, Bay Road Heights, Norton, Massachusetts, A Proposed Housing Development in the Town of Norton, MA prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated dated June 24, 2010.
5. Addendum to Drainage Report, Bay Road Heights, Norton, Massachusetts, A Proposed Housing Development in the Town of Norton, MA prepared by Outback Engineering Incorporated dated October 12, 2010.
6. Response letter addressed to Jennifer Carlino dated August 8, 2012.

Present at the public hearing were Shawn Kelly, owner and James Pavlik of Outback Engineering Inc. Mr. Pavlik. Mr. Pavlik stated that he had replied to a comment letter written by John Chessia of Chessia Consulting Services, LLC's dated May 17, 2012. He said that there were six outstanding issues that he commented on in his reply letter of August 8, 2012 which was addressed to Jennifer Carlino.

He proceeded to go over his comments as follows: He said that the most important issue was the recharge area that drained towards Bay Road. He said that he still disagrees with Chessia's comments. He noted additional test pits were done adjacent to Detention Basin #1 and the intersection of Spice Lane and added a few recharge structures there.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, August 13, 2012
Minutes, Page 6.

David Henry asked him to go through the six comments with his response comments.

1. Mr. Pavlik said that the first issue was concern with the amount of impervious area that was going to remain from the driveway of the existing house. He said the revised information states what will be removed and what will remain in the area. He said additional tests pits were done and addition recharge has been provided.
2. Mr. Pavlik stated the second issue was that there were no test pits in the vicinity of lots 2-7 where some roof drains were proposed at the rear of a few homes. He stated they had done more test pits between lots 3-5.
3. Mr. Pavlik stated that the third concern was the emergency spillway for Detention Basin #1 and the amount of water that might discharge onto Bay Road. He said he had spoken with Keith Silver who did not have a problem with the location of the spill way since there was an additional re-charge structure added to the site.
4. Mr. Pavlik stated that the fourth concern was the disagreeance on the requirements for DEP Standard 3, groundwater recharge. He stated that following the May 21, 2012 hearing, extensive re-analysis of the entrance portion of Spice Lane where it intersects with Bay Road, was assessed to try and think of where they might be able to accommodate additional recharge as requested by the Commission. He said they eliminated permeable pavement for the sidewalk or any portion of the roadways because the slopes are too steep per DEP design requirements. He said they considered installation of a catch basin near the entrance that they might pipe across the street to a potential recharge area but found the elevations would not work. He stated that additional test pits near the existing house at 135 Bay Road and at the corner of the property were done, and they designed 2 recharge areas that will accommodate the required recharge volumes per Standard 3 (see revised Grading plan, detail sheet with soil logs, and attached calculations).

Lisa Carrozza noted that according to the EPA Construction General Permit issued on February, 2012, the use of skimmer outlets instead of barrel riser outlets is required. Mr. Pavlik stated he checked on line and could not find that requirement and asked Lisa Carrozza if she could email him that requirement.

5. Mr. Pavlik stated that the fifth issue has already been addressed being the issue of sedimentation and maintenance by the use of basin #1 for temporary sedimentation control during construction.
6. Mr. Pavlik stated the sixth issued was resolved by the revision of the temporary sediment basin design calculations and the Construction Period Erosion and Sedimentation Control plans (sheets 15 and 16) and have been submitted.

Michele Simoneaux suggested continuing the public hearing until final comments have been received from Chessia Consultants.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, August 13, 2012
Minutes, Page 7.

Michele Simoneaux made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to continue the public hearing for File #250-871 & #250-872 until the next regular meeting of Monday, August 27, 2012.

Informal Discussion – **LEC Environmental Consultants, Inc.** re: 274 East Main Street.

Ann Marton of LEC Environmental Consultants, Inc. addressed the Commission. She noted that with her was Lloyd Geisinger of Thorndike Development and Russ Dion of Campanelli Construction who were partners in this project.

Lloyd Geisinger stated he was in the process of purchasing the property which consists of 15 acres. He noted that this is going to be a Comprehensive Permit Chapter 40B project. He said that he and Campanelli are proposing to construct 8 buildings which would consist of a total of 230 apartments of which 25% will be affordable units. He said a sidewalk is proposed to run from Red Mill Village to Duncan Donuts. He noted there would be 3 entry points on Route 123. Mr. Geisinger stated that he had set up meetings with the different departments and would be filing an application with the Zoning Board of Appeals this fall.

Ms. Marton noted that one side of the property which abuts Red Mill Village has already been flagged and the wetlands have been delineated in that area in about 2003. She said she would like to walk the site with Jennifer Carlino and have her review the wetland line before a Notice of Intent is filed. She proceeded to refer to an old plan submitted with a Notice of Intent filing. She said that plans submitted with the application will include new changes like an LID. Ms. Marton stated she would like to have the Notice of Intent filed in September.

Jennifer Carlino asked Ms. Marton if an ANRAD was going to be filed before the Notice of Intent and she replied that she had hoped to file both together. Jennifer Carlino stated she does not review the wetland line until an application is filed. Mr. Geisinger noted that the site has been flagged but realizes that it was a long time ago and the plan is not valid at this time. He stated he would like the Commission's input before filing his application.

Jennifer Carlino asked if the discharge from the basins were going directly to the Certified Vernal Pool and Ms. Marton replied it was. Michele Simoneaux asked about rare species and Ms. Marton said this area is not mapped for rare species.

Oren Sigal of 28 Coddling Road asked what the difference between an Abbreviated Notice of Resource Area Delineation (ANRAD) and a Notice of Intent (NOI) was. Jennifer Carlino explained that an ANRAD delineates/confirms the wetland resource areas and an NOI is the complete proposed project to be done on the site noting all the confirmed wetland resource areas.

Michele Simoneaux explained that it is better to have the wetland resource areas confirmed before filing a Notice of Intent to avoid having to revise submitted plans to change the wetland resource areas.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, August 13, 2012
Minutes, Page 8.

Lloyd Geisinger assured that every abutter within 100 feet of the property line for this project would receive notice of any public hearings that take place for this project.

Karl Johnson of 83 Coddling Road requested to be notified if the boundary line changes on the lot that abuts his property and Lloyd Geisinger replied he would notify him.

Presentation of the directional drilling plan – Water Department – Plain Street

Present at the public hearing were Frank Fornier, Water Department, Jack Kennedy of K&K Excavation Co., Inc. and Jeff Martin of Henniker Directional Drilling LLC. Mr. Martin stated he would be drilling approximately 200 feet from the center of the Mulberry Meadow Brook at least 6 feet deep. He said he would be using 14” polyethelene pipe. Jennifer Carlino asked what his water souce would be and he replied he would be using a fire hydrant. Lisa Carrozza asked what he proposed to do with any dug out materials and Mr. Martin explained they would be mixed with dirt at the staging area. Lisa Carrozza asked where the staging area is and Mr. Martin replied it was on Bay Road at the old fire station site. Mr. Martin stated he will be avoiding boulders wherever possible by going on top of or around them.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **File #250-819 – Andrew Davison/c/o Richard Tula – Parcel 6 (Assessor’s Map 32) 38 John Scott Boulevard**. Jennifer Carlino stated she has not received the requested information. Michele Simoneaux made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to deny the request for a Certificate of Compliance for lack of receiving an As-Built plan. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **File #250-345 – Jennifer Roberts/SKM Title & Closing Services, Inc. – Parcel 111 (Assessor’s Map 36) Lot 17D – East Hodges Street**. Jennifer Carlino stated that this project has never been done. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Michele Simoneaux, to issue a Certificate of Compliance. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **File #250-430 – Jennifer Roberts/SKM Title & Closing Services, Inc. – Parcels 196 (Assessor’s Map 31) & Parcels 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133 & 134 (Assessor’s Map 32) Myra’s Way & Gilbert’s Way**. Jennifer Carlino stated a copy of the As-Built plan has been obtained from the Town Clerk. She said it’s highly unlikely that we’ll receive any more information for this site. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to issue a Certificate of Compliance. Approved. Michele Simoneaux voted no.

The members reviewed a request for a duplicate Partial Certificate of Compliance for **File #250-455 – Jennifer Roberts/SKM Title & Closing Services, Inc. – Lot X, Parcel 140 (Assessor’s Map 36), Lot Y, Parcel 133 (Assessor’s Map 32), Lot Z, Parcel 111 (Assessor’s Map 36) 3, 5 & 7 Gilbert’s Way**. Jennifer Carlin stated nothing else will ever been done on these lots. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to issue the duplicate Certificate of Compliance. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **File #250-556 – Ernest T. Orlando – Parcel 134 (Assessor's map 28) 25 Margaret Drive.** Jennifer Carlino stated the project was completed according to the approved plans. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to issue the Certificate of Compliance. Approved.

The members reviewed a request for a Certificate of Compliance for **File #250-868 - Horizon Beverage Company (Assessors Map 24, parcels 115, Map 25, parcels 1 & 92, - 45 Commerce Way.** Mark Dibb of C & A Engineering Services LLC stated he had received a letter from Jennifer Carlino dated August 6, 2012 with outstanding issues to be resolved before a Certificate of Compliance could be issued. He responded to the letter as follows:

- 1. Remove all orange construction fence, filtermitts, and filter fabric fencing materials;**
Mr. Dibb stated all erosion control has been removed.
- 2. Stabilize areas at:**
 - a. the truck entrance near the first infiltration basin on the left of the driveway;**
 - b. the southeast and northeast corners of the large infiltration basin;**
 - c. the area to the north of the emergency spillway and all exposed earth to the east of basin 3;**

Mr. Dibb noted that at area (a.) the area has been cleaned, graded and seeded. Jennifer Carlino stated she had not seen any seed in this area.

Mr. Dibb noted that at area (b.) the area has been seeded. He noted that the seed may have washed away.

Mr. Dibb noted that at area (c.) the area was hydro-seeded about 2 months ago and the seed had washed away but the area has not been re-seeded at this time.

- 3. Remove hay at the location of the 2 outfalls to the large infiltration basin and the outfall of basin 3.**
Mr. Dibb noted that the hay has been removed.
- 4. Clean the sediment from the northern outfall of basin 3;**
Mr. Dibb noted the sediment was cleaned out.
- 5. Sweep the road and clean the sediment from the double catch basin across the access road from basin 3;**
Mr. Dibb stated the road has been cleaned.
- 6. Remove all concrete dumped in locations throughout the site. There is a designated concrete washout area specifically for this purpose;**
Mr. Dibb stated the concrete has been cleaned up.
- 7. Provide a summary of the plantings, inspection and certification for the buffer zone restoration areas per email of May 9, 2012 and describe deviations with the proposed planting plan.**
Mr. Dibb stated that LEC Consultants has inspected the plantings for the buffer restoration areas and will provide a summary.
- 8. The letter regarding the available storm water funds must be signed.**
Mr. Dibb noted that the letter has been signed.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, August 13, 2012
Minutes, Page 10.

Michele Simoneaux asked why the original planting plan was not followed and Mr. Dibb stated he did not know why.

Jennifer Carlino stated that not all the in-organic materials have been placed for the wildlife habitat and Mr. Dibb stated he would see to it that more in-organic materials will be placed on the site.

Mr. Dibb stated that the applicant would like to obtain an Occupancy Permit in the near future. Jennifer Carlino suggested that they hydro-seed the areas that need to be stabilized as soon as possible. Lisa Carrozza suggested roughing up the area and mixing the seed with a seed mix and a tacifier to help the seed sprout quicker.

Mr. Dibb said he could post a bond and complete the stabilization in the fall. Lisa Carrozza stated that he should temporarily stabilize the area immediately. Jennifer Carlino stated that the problem is the matter of watering the seed. Julian Kadish made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to have the applicant post a \$3,000 bond to ensure final vegetated stabilization of exposed soils and to temporarily stabilize the area and to issue a partial Certificate of Compliance. Approved.

The members reviewed the draft Order of Conditions for **(#250-891) – Kevin Roffi/Wheaton College – Parcels 14 & 51 (Assessor's Maps 17 & 23) 26 East Main Street** - for proposed plans for construction of athletic fields/track and amenities within 100 feet of wetlands and 200 feet of the Rumford River.

Michele Simoneaux suggested to revise condition #39 to state that two preliminary reports shall be submitted, one in the Spring and one in the Fall with one final Annual Report. She noted a typo in condition #40. She suggested adding the words "until a Certificate of Compliance is issued" in condition #40. She suggested adding the word "immediately" at the end of the sentence in condition #43.

Lisa Carrozza suggested adding the word "final" for the stabilization in condition #37.

Jennifer Carlino asked if she should add a condition to the Order requesting she be notified for the beginning of Phase II if there is a long period of time between the completion of Phase I and the commencement of Phase II. Michele Simoneaux agreed she should add the condition.

Michele Simoneaux made a motion, seconded by Lisa Carrozza, to accept and sign the Order of Conditions, as amended. Approved.

Violations:

241 Mansfield Avenue – Jennifer Carlino stated she will call the owner.

157 Mansfield Avenue – Jennifer Carlino stated the final work has begun on this site.

Norton Conservation Commission
Monday, August 13, 2012
Minutes, Page 11.

New Business

Jennifer Carlino noted that the Attleboro Landfill meeting will be held tomorrow evening at the Solmonese School, West Main Street at 7:00 pm.

Jennifer Carlino noted that the PRPs will be using 59 Union Road for staging/stockpiling. She said she has an erosion control plan.

Appeals

Jennifer Carlino noted that the appeal meeting for **File #250-887 – Turtle Crossing** – was held on-site last Wednesday, August 8th at 10:45 am. She said that since the Permit Extension Act has been extended again, the old permit allowing the filling of the Isolated Wetland will be valid again and the applicant will be able to fill the Isolated Wetland. She said the appeal would more than likely be withdrawn.

Jennifer Carlino noted that the appeal meeting for **File #250-882 – Pheeney's Island** will be held this Thursday, August 16th at 9:30 am in the Selectmen's meeting room and then on the island.

Water Body Committee Update. Lisa Carrozza noted that the Department of Conservation and Recreation attended the meeting. She said they spent a lot of time identifying different species of plants. She said methods and costs of applying herbicides to the different water bodies in town were discussed. She suggested having homeowners do something tangible. Jennifer Carlino said typed up a draft action plan and has heard back from one person only. Jennifer Carlino stated she would send the draft action plan to the other members. Jennifer Carlino suggested having agendas for the meetings and have all attending follow the agenda. Lisa Carrozza noted the next meeting is next Monday, August 20th at 7:00 pm. She volunteered to attend the next meeting as no one else could attend.

Lisa Carrozza made a motion, seconded by Michele Simoneaux, to adjourn the meeting at 9:23 pm. Approved.

Minutes Approved by Committee on: _____
(Date)

Respectfully submitted,

Signature:

(Name)

Chairman, _____